

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/848,905	Applicant(s) CASEBOLT, SCOTT C.	
	Examiner Hugh B. Thompson II	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Ms. Robin Sannes.
Status of Application: newly-filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2007
Time: pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:


1, 7, 12, 17

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims will be amended to remove positive recitations of the drum and properly recite the connection between the connecting end of the lifeline and a connector that is releasably connected to a user.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)